1	Final Minutes
2	Forensic Science Board Meeting
3	May 12, 2010
4	Department of Forensic Science, Central Laboratory, Classroom 1
5 6	<b>Board Members Present</b>
7	
8	Steven D. Benjamin
9	Leah Bush, M.D.
10	Dale Carpenter, Ph.D.
11	John Colligan (Designee for Garth L. Wheeler)
12 13	Colonel W. Steven Flaherty Jo Ann Given
13 14	
14 15	Katya Herndon (Designee for Karl R. Hade) Kristen Howard (Designee for Senator Howell)
16	Alan Katz (Designee for Attorney General Cuccinelli)
17	Senator Henry L. Marsh III
18	Raymond F. Morrogh
19	Elizabeth S. Russell
20	Ramona Thiss (Designee for Robert Jensen)
21	ramona This (Besignee for Robert vehicle)
22	Board Members Absent
23	
24	Delegate William R. Janis (Designee for Delegate Albo)
25	Sheriff A.A. Lippa Jr.
26	11
27	Legal Counsel for the Forensic Science Board
28	
29	Amy Dilworth
30	
31	Staff Members Present
32	
33	Wanda Adkins, Office Manager
34	Jeffrey Ban, Central Laboratory Director
35	David Barron, Ph.D. Technical Services Director
36	Henry Bosman, Senior Accountant, Finance
37	Donna Carter, Finance Manager
38	Guinevere Cassidy, Legal Assistant
39	Doug Chandler, IT Manager
<del>1</del> 0	Ann Davis, Physical Evidence Program Manager
41	Leslie Ellis, Human Resources Director
42 42	Linda Jackson, Chemistry Program Manager
13 14	Gail Jaspen, Chief Deputy Director  George Li, Section Supervisor, Forencie Biology
14 15	George Li, Section Supervisor, Forensic Biology Alka Lahmann, Training and Calibration Program Manager
15 16	Alka Lohmann, Training and Calibration Program Manager
46	Pete Marone, Department Director

- 47 Stephanie Merritt, Department Counsel
- 48 Kevin Patrick, Western Laboratory Director
- 49 Lisa Schiermeier-Wood, Section Supervisor, Forensic Biology
- 50 Steven Sigel, Deputy Director
- 51 Amy Wong, Northern Laboratory Director

#### Call to Order by Chairman Raymond Morrogh

Chairman Morrogh called the meeting of the Forensic Science Board ("Board") to order at 9:07 a.m.

#### **Adoption of Agenda**

Chairman Morrogh asked if there were any additions or changes to the draft agenda for the meeting. Being none, Mr. Benjamin moved to adopt the agenda which was seconded by Ms. Howard and adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.

## Approval of Draft Minutes of January 6, 2010 Meeting

Chairman Morrogh asked if there were any changes or corrections to the draft minutes from the January 6, 2010 meeting. Ms. Howard requested a correction to Line 15, stating that she is the designee for Senator Howell. Mr. Benjamin moved to adopt the minutes of the January 6, 2010 meeting with the correction to Line 15. Ms. Howard seconded the motion which was adopted by unanimous vote of the Board.

# DFS Director's Report - State of the Agency

Regarding facilities, DFS Director Pete Marone informed the Board that the Department of Forensic Science ("DFS") Eastern Laboratory's expansion is progressing. The first phase of the expansion, which increases the size of the laboratory by 6,000 square feet, is 85% complete. This phase relocates the Administrative offices and the Latent Prints section, expands case file storage and the Firearms section and creates a multi-discipline office area which increases lab space utilization. The next phase of the expansion is in the drawing stage which is 60% complete. This phase relocates the Controlled Substance and Toxicology sections and allows for future expansion of the 4<sup>th</sup> floor labs. The Northern Laboratory was awarded a Silver LEED designation for the use of "green" technologies and materials in the construction of the Northern Laboratory.

Regarding the workload/backlog, Director Marone informed the Board that the backlog has decreased in the Firearms, Forensic Biology and Latent Prints Sections. On December 31, 2009 the Firearms Section's backlog was 410; on April 30, 2010 it was 377. Likewise, on December 31, 2009, the Latent Prints Section's backlog was 907; on April 30, 2010 it was 673. On December 31, 2009 the Forensic Biology Section's backlog was 1379; on April 30, 2010 1143. Director Marone reminded the Board that the statistics for the Forensic Biology Section's 90-day backlog is not an accurate reflection of that section's backlog because the cases from the Post

Conviction DNA Project ("PC-DNA") are included in the numbers, along with the Mitochondrial DNA cases. Mitochondrial DNA examination is a lengthy process.

Regarding grants, Director Marone informed the Board that the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Board have approved DFS' application for the following grants:

- FY 2010 Solving Cold Cases with DNA - Chair/Vice Chair approved 3/8/2010, application submitted 3/12/2010. Amount requested: \$482,971; to defray costs associated with cold case DNA resting and expand the Department's cold case processing capability. To pay the salaries and benefits for three full time qualified DNA examiners to process cold cases that are eligible for funding under this solicitation, for testing supplies and a computer station and for eligible DNA profiles to be searched and uploaded to DOCIS. It is estimated that this funding will allow for DNA testing of approximately 150 cold cases.
  - 2011 Highway Safety Grant Program Chair/Vice Chair approved 2/23/2010, application submitted 3/15/2010, amount requested: \$223,962. DFS will use funding under this program to reimburse law enforcement and affiliated personnel for travel costs (lodging and per diem) associate with Breath Alcohol training. Funding also will be used for related supplies and equipment.
  - FY 2010 Using DNA Technology to Identify the Missing Chair/Vice Chair approved 3/19/2010; application submitted 4/19/2010, amount requested: \$468,640. DFS will continue to pay the salaries and benefits for a mitochondrial DNA examiner, and for chemistries and equipment needed for testing. The OCME will continue to pay the part-time salaries and benefits for a Forensic Pathologist and two Medicolegal Death Investigators and for case file upload into NamUs of new unidentified skeletal remains cases and to continue follow up on NamUs hits from previously loaded cases. The OCME will contract with an Anthropologist for pre-DNA examination of all new unidentified skeletal remains cases to determine suitability for testing.

Col. Flaherty moved that the Board confirm the Chair and Vice Chair's approval to allow DFS to submit applications for the presented grants and that DFS accept the awards if granted. Ms. Given seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote of the Board.

Director Marone further informed the Board that the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Board have approved for DFS to apply for the FY 2010 Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program Grant – Chair/Vice Chair approved 4/19/2010, application due on 5/14/2010. Formula grant – Virginia's estimated amount: \$920,520. DFS proposes to use funding under this grant to continue to pay the salaries and benefits of four full-time Forensic Scientists and one full-time Forensic Laboratory Specialist hired under the FY 09 Backlog Reduction grant. These positions are critical to the Section but are not funded under the DFS operating budget. In addition, supplies and equipment will be purchased under the grant in order to increase the Section's throughput and reduce the current backlog and case turn-around time.

Dr. Bush moved that the Board confirm the Chair and Vice Chair's approval to allow DFS to submit an application for the presented grant and that DFS accept the award if granted. Col. Flaherty seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote of the Board.

Director Marone further informed the Board that DFS seeks the Board's approval to apply for the following grants:

- Forensic Science Training Development and Delivery Program application due on 5/17/2010. DFS proposes to utilize funding under this program to: (i) reimburse law enforcement and affiliated personnel for travel costs associated with Breath Alcohol training, as well as for related supplies and equipment; (ii) provide Breath Alcohol training to members of the Virginia State Bar; (iii) reimburse law enforcement and affiliated personnel for travel costs associate with Forensic Science Academy ("FSA") training, as well as for related supplies, equipment and personal certification training/testing for FSA graduates and attendees, and (iv) to hire a fill-time trainer to develop and deliver training to new forensic scientists in the areas of policy, standards of conduct, legal issues and communication.
- FY 2010 Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Program application due on 5/17/2010, DFS proposes to use funding under this program to provide training and to purchase supplies and equipment for both the Chemical Analysis and Physical Evidence program areas.

Col. Flaherty moved that the Board approve the request from DFS to submit applications for the presented grants and that DFS accept the awards if granted. Ms. Given seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote of the Board.

Regarding resources, Director Marone informed the Board that DFS information technology resources are subject to a transformation process through on going discussions with VITA/NG. Regarding the budget, there are 2010-2012 biennium budget changes from the FY 2010 budget. This includes an increase each year of the biennium of \$789,175 to address the effects of the *Melendez-Diaz* decision, and a decrease of \$271,983 for FY 2011 and a decrease of \$282,728 for FY 2012, and reduction of two full-time positions from Administrative Services.

Director Marone directed the Board's attention to the handout entitled *Preliminary Outline of Draft Forensic Reform Legislation*. Director Marone informed the Board that the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee has developed this draft legislation for distribution and solicitation of public comment and feedback. Some of the areas addressed in the draft legislation are accreditation, certification, research, standards/best practices and oversight and coordination.

Discussion by the Board ensued. Mr. Benjamin informed the Board that a national organization of criminal defense attorneys supports the position that validation studies much be completed for all forensic science disciplines before they can be used in Court. Mr. Benjamin does not support this position.

# **Old Business**

- Discussion Draft Regulations for Obtaining Information from the DNA Data Bank and
   Procedures for Verification and Authorization of Persons Requesting Information from the DNA
   Data Bank
- Stephanie Merritt, DFS Department Counsel led the discussion regarding the draft DNA Data Bank Regulations for obtaining information for the data bank and procedures for verification and

authorization of persons requesting information from the data bank, 6VAC 40-60. Ms. Merritt directed the Board's attention to the Discussion Draft in their meeting packet and stated that the document was drafted by DFS staff which was then circulated to the Attorney General's Office and Mr. Benjamin upon his request. Section "B" of Va Code § 19.2-310.5 is the section of Code which requires the promulgation of Regulations for the state DNA index system.

Ms. Merritt presented section 6VAC40-60-10 – Definitions -- to the Board for discussion. She informed the Board that an earlier draft of the regulation defined persons other than "Law enforcement agency" but staff decided to delete those definitions.

Discussion by the Board ensued regarding the definition of "law enforcement officer" and if there needed to be an amendment of Va Code § 19.2-310.5 to include persons other than law enforcement officers.

Ms. Merritt presented section 6VAC40-60-20 -- Request for information from a law enforcement officer regarding whether an individual's DNA profile is in the DNA data bank -- to the Board for discussion.

Discussion by the Board ensued regarding whether there is currently a form that is used by law enforcement to request information. George Li, DFS Forensic Biology Section Supervisor and DNA Data Bank Administrator, informed the Board that a format that is available for making a request that must be placed on the law enforcement agency's letter head.

Further discussion by the Board ensued. Ms. Russell moved that the word "must" be replaced with "shall" throughout the draft regulation. Ms. Herndon seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote of the Board.

Ms. Merritt presented section 6VAC40-60-30 -- Request for DNA data bank information relating to specific Virginia forensic laboratory examinations involving the analysis and comparison of two or more samples -- to the Board for discussion.

Discussion by the Board ensued regarding the listing of the DFS website address and fax number in the language of the regulation. Ms. Russell moved that the DFS fax number and the DFS website address be replaced with "which is available on the Department of Forensic Science's website," throughout the draft regulation. Ms. Herndon seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote of the Board. Further discussion by the Board ensued.

Ms. Merritt presented the following sections to the Board for discussion: 6VAC40-60-40 -Request for DNA data bank information relating to out-of-state forensic laboratory examination;
6VAC40-60-50 -- Provision of DNA data bank information to the Virginia Department of
Corrections and Department of Juvenile Justice; and 6VAC40-60-60 -- Department employee
access to the DNA data bank.

Discussion by the Board ensued. Ms. Russell moved that DFS proceed with the Regulatory process with the current draft in its revised form with the edits agreed upon by the Board. Dr. Bush seconded the motion which passed by unanimous vote of the Board.

Ms. Given moved that DFS coordinate with law enforcement and Commonwealth's Attorneys regarding a proposal for an amendment to Va Code § 19.2-310.5 to include person other than law enforcement officers. The motion was seconded by Ms. Russell which passed by unanimous vote of the Board.

## Post Conviction DNA Notification and Testing Program

Regarding the notification portion of PC-DNA, Notification Subcommittee Chair Kristen Howard informed the Board that case assignments continue to be made with 110 cases assigned to pro bono attorneys and 26 to University of Virginia ("UVA") law students since the last meeting of the Board. To date, 152 case assignments have been made and 37 notifications have been completed. It is anticipated that the results from the UVA student case assignments will be provided this month. Ms. Howard asked the Board if there should be thank you letters sent to all the pro bono attorneys and law students who participated in the Notification Program. The Board decided that DFS would draft the thank you letter which would be printed of Board letterhead, under the Chairman's signature to be distributed to the participants in the Notification Program.

Regarding the 2008 NIJ Post-Conviction DNA Testing Assistance Grant, Chief Deputy Director Gail Jaspen informed the Board that DFS has initiated the process of amending the grant. The proposed adjustment to the grant includes a change in the grant's scope to provide support for the continuing roles of the VSCC and MAIP in the Testing and Notification Project through March 31, 2011. NIJ has provided a preliminary review of the request and proposed budget adjustments. It is not unusual to request an amendment to a grant and is a part of the ongoing administrative process of a grant. DFS is awaiting a decision from NIJ on the request to provide grant funds to VSCC and MAIP.

Regarding notification and testing updates, Ms Jaspen informed the Board that DFS's notification numbers remained essentially the same because the Department's focus has shifted to testing while the notification process has been reassigned to the Notification Subcommittee. To date, the total number of convicted suspects eligible to be notified under 2008 budget language is 1,088; of that 207 have been determined to be deceased. 338 confirmed suspects Notifications have been received by DFS, 107 additional green certified mail return receipts signed by addressee has been received by DFS, and 543 are undelivered and unconfirmed notifications.

Ms. Jaspen further informed the Board that to date, the total number of case files found with evidence suitable for DNA testing is 3,053 of which 2,208 cases contain a named suspect and 799 cases contain a named suspect known to have been convicted in the case. 642 cases meeting the NIJ grant criteria (murder, rape and non-negligent manslaughter) have been sent to the contracting laboratory for DNA testing. There are 106 additional cases meeting the State criteria for testing (other violent crimes against a person). Preliminary case results have returned in 188 cases. The final completed case results were returned in 465 cases and 198 cases await contactor testing. Certificates of Analysis have been issued for all convicted suspects who were known to be incarcerated. The following are the case test results regarding listed suspects meeting NIJ criteria: 38 convicted suspects were not indicated/eliminated; 121 convicted suspects were not eliminated; known samples are needed for

34 convicted suspects; and there are 140 convicted suspects whose cases have insufficient scientific data upon which to draw a conclusion. The following are the case test results regarding listed suspects who do not meet NIJ criteria: 22 convicted suspects were not indicated/eliminated; 9 convicted suspects were not eliminated; known samples are needed for 51 convicted suspects; and there are 31 convicted suspects whose cases have insufficient scientific data upon which to draw a conclusion.

## <u>Update on Impact of Melendez-Diaz Decision</u>

Ms. Jaspen informed the Board that the largest impact on DFS continues to be felt in the Toxicology, Drugs and Breath Alcohol sections. Ms. Jaspen presented charts regarding the marked increase of receipt of witness subpoenas for DFS examiners to appear in court and data regarding appearance and testimony verses appearance only. DFS examiners have risen to this challenge and have been making it to court as subpoenaed. Ms. Jaspen reminded the Board that aside from the amount time documented in the charts presented; there is additional administrative time spent with phone calls, logging in of subpoenas and coordinating appearances when more than one court has subpoenaed an examiner for the same time and day.

Discussion by the Board ensued regarding the hierarchy of subpoenas when a multiple subpoena for same examiner for the same day and time situation exists. Ms. Merritt informed the Board that it is DFS policy to honor the first lawfully served subpoena.

Ms. Jaspen further informed the Board that the General Assembly has passed the following legislation in response to the *Melendez-Diaz* Decision:

- SB 106 (Sen. McDougle) Clarifies that notification of defendants by the Commonwealth must be provided only if the certificate or affidavit is to be offered into evidence *in lieu* of testimony. It also specifies that the notice of the defendant's right to object shall be "provided simultaneously with" the certificate of analysis, rather than "attached to "the certificate or affidavit." The bill defines "certificate of analysis" to include certain documents that reflect laboratory results. It is unlikely to have an impact on the work of DFS or the frequency with which DFS analysis are required to appear in court, but it does clarify the process for prosecutors.
- SB387 (Sen. Obenshain) Adds a provision stating that if the Commonwealth's Attorney intends to present testimony pertaining to a forensic analysis presented by two-way video conferencing, rather than live in the courtroom, that intention must be provided to the defendant in writing, along with the certificate of analysis that the Commonwealth is otherwise required to provide. The defendant must specifically object in writing or he waives his right to object. The bill also specifies procedure relating to video testimony and clarifies that provisions requiring a 28-day notification to the defendant must be followed only if the Commonwealth intends to offer the certificate of analysis into evidence *in lieu* of testimony.
- HB 500 (Del. Gilbert) Provides that at preliminary hearing, certificates of analysis and reports prepared by lab analysts, etc., shall be admissible without the testimony of the person preparing such certificate or report. Also provides that when such an analyst appears in court to testify, the certificate of analysis shall be admissible. The bill also requires that a defendant who demands the testimony of the analyst pay \$50 court costs for expenses related to analyst's appearance if the defendant is convicted.

#### **New Business**

2010 Legislative Session Update

Ms. Jaspen informed the Board that the General Assembly considered the following legislation which is relevant to DFS and legislation concerning the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA):

- HB 314 (Del. McClellan) Makes technical changes to the DNA Data Bank statue, Va Code §19.2-310.5, providing that instead of "blood, saliva and tissue samples," "samples of human biological evidence" shall be analyzed. It also clarifies that results of DNA analyses shall be made available to an accused or his attorney under §9.1-1104. This bill passed.
- HB 1087 (Del. Crockett-Stark) Provided that in a trial for drug offenses involving cocaine or heroin, a law enforcement officer shall be permitted to testify as to the results of a field test, approved by DFS, regarding whether the substance at issue, as tested, is cocaine or heroin. The bill also provided that a law enforcement officer who performs drug field tests shall be trained as a Drug Recognition Expert by DFSF. After it was explained to the patron that there is no suitable drug field kit for this purpose, the bill was striken.
- HB 518 (Del. Rust) Provides that if a public body transfers possession of public records for storage, maintenance or archiving, the public body initiating the transfer remains the custodian of the records for the purpose of responding to FOIA requests. The bill also adds a definition of "criminal investigative file," clarifying what records are exempt from FOIA as such. This bill passed.
- SB 711 (Sen. Edwards) Bill proposed to limit the exemption for criminal investigative or prosecution records to ongoing investigations or prosecutions. Criminal investigative and prosecution records would be open to the public after the ongoing criminal investigation or prosecution becomes final or is otherwise terminated, unless disclosure jeopardizes another investigation or prosecution. The final consideration of this bill was continued and referred to FOIA Council.

Discussion by the Board ensued.

### **Public Comment**

None

The next meeting of the Board is set for Wednesday, August 11, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

## **Adjournment**

**Next Meeting** 

Chairman Morrogh asked if there was a motion to adjourn. Col. Flaherty moved that the meeting of the Board be adjourned which was seconded by Ms. Russell and passed by unanimous vote.

The meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m.